Discussion of "Back to Bank, Digital Currency, Deposits' Substitution and Credit"

by Lorenzo Spadavecchia, Jimmy Apaa Okello and Samuel N. Musoke

Christoph Bertsch, Sveriges Riksbank¹

2024 Autumn Conference on Markets and Intermediaries Deutsche Bundesbank & Humboldt University

October 1, 2024

¹The views expressed in this presentation are solely the responsibility of the author and should not be interpreted as reflecting the official views. $\neg \neg \neg$

Christoph Bertsch

Growth of Mobile Money in Sub-Saharan Africa



Figure: GSM Association; industry report from 2023.

Especially High Penetration in East & West Africa

Mobile money is more prevalent across the continent



Figure: Mobile money penetration index constructed by the GSM Association for the year 2022; industry report from 2023.

Sac

Key Role of Mobile Money Agents and Comparison to Traditional Banking



Figure: GSM Association; industry report from 2023. E .

Christoph Bertsch

Spadavecchia, Okello and Musoke (2024) 4 / 12

Transaction with a MTN Mobile Money Agent in a Busy Street: Cashing-in & Cashing-out



Figure: Produced with Dall·E.

Christoph Bertsch

Lorenzo's Paper: Study Introduction of a Tax on Mobile Money Transactions in Uganda in July 2018

- Taxes on mobile money transactions have been introduced by many Sub-Saharan countries
 - Main motives: plugging urgent holes in fiscal budgets; finding ways to tax the informal sector
- Policy interventions such as taxes on certain types of money or phasing out higher denomination banknotes can provide an ideal laboratory to learn about the substitutability between monies!



Figure: Spadavecchia et al. (2024)

Data and Methodology

- ► 2018 mobile money transactions data from a big operator
 - 50m transactions: cash-in/-out, P2P, sender, receiver, mobile agent identifier, amount, time stamp, fees
 - District-level location for 1.5m out of 5m users
- Central Bank of Uganda
 - Issuance of cash (daily) by private bank branches
- Credit registry loan-level data for commercial and household borrowers over the period 2017-2023
- Traditional bank data
 - Monthly bank-level data on deposits
 - Location of branches and ATMs on the district level
 - Deposits & withdrawals by banking agents since 04/2018
- District level GDP proxy
- Uganda National Panel Survey with 5,000 respondents; includes information on mobile money usage

Sac

Data and Methodology (continued)

Quasi-natural expriment

- Time variation: Unexpected introduction of a 1% tax on mobile money transfers on 1 July 2018
- Cross-section variation: Heterogeneous access to alternative monies, proxied by the ATM density at the district level

► Two-way fixed effects difference-in-differences framework

Christoph Bertsch

Ξ

900

- 1. Mobile money usage drops most for individuals residing in districts with a higher bank penetration
 - Higher density of ATMs \sim higher bank branch density

3

- 1. Mobile money usage drops most for individuals residing in districts with a higher bank penetration
 - $\bullet\,$ Higher density of ATMs $\sim\,$ higher bank branch density
- 2. Higher adoption of agent banking in districts with a higher bank penetration and by large banks

- 1. Mobile money usage drops most for individuals residing in districts with a higher bank penetration
 - $\bullet\,$ Higher density of ATMs $\sim\,$ higher bank branch density
- 2. Higher adoption of agent banking in districts with a higher bank penetration and by large banks
- 3. Bank deposits
 - (a) Increase in (short-term) bank deposits in districts with a higher bank penetration and for largest banks
 - (b) These bank branches also experience an increase in ATM withdrawals (and in interbank deposits)
 - $\diamond~$ New bank deposits used for transactions $\rightarrow~\text{CBDC}$

- 1. Mobile money usage drops most for individuals residing in districts with a higher bank penetration
 - + Higher density of ATMs \sim higher bank branch density
- 2. Higher adoption of agent banking in districts with a higher bank penetration and by large banks
- 3. Bank deposits
 - (a) Increase in (short-term) bank deposits in districts with a higher bank penetration and for largest banks
 - (b) These bank branches also experience an increase in ATM withdrawals (and in interbank deposits)
 - $\diamond~$ New bank deposits used for transactions $\rightarrow~\text{CBDC}$
- 4. Bank credit
 - Banks tighten repayment terms for all borrowers
 - Banks increase credit to low risk borrowers and reduce lending to new/risky customers

Comments: Macro Shocks in June/July 2018?



Figure: Exchange rate over the period January 2018 to December 2020 from Xe Currency Converter.

Comments: Macro Shocks in June/July 2018?



Figure: Exchange rate over the period January 2018 to December 2020 from Xe Currency Converter.

- Endogeneity of treatment?
- Macro shocks/change in expectation about future tax policies that negatively affect borrowers' repayment probability, disproportionately affecting_riskier borrowers?

Christoph Bertsch

Spadavecchia, Okello and Musoke (2024) 10 / 12

Sac

- What happens to banks? An inflow of short-term transaction deposits leads to
 - An equivalent increase in physical cash on the asset side
 - Potentially higher volatility of ATM withdrawals

- What happens to banks? An inflow of short-term transaction deposits leads to
 - An equivalent increase in physical cash on the asset side
 - Potentially higher volatility of ATM withdrawals
- Banks may respond by
 - Lengthening the maturity on the asset side, i.e. extend credit to firms and households
 - Keeping extra holdings of reserves and physical cash

- What happens to banks? An inflow of short-term transaction deposits leads to
 - An equivalent increase in physical cash on the asset side
 - Potentially higher volatility of ATM withdrawals
- Banks may respond by
 - Lengthening the maturity on the asset side, i.e. extend credit to firms and households
 - Keeping extra holdings of reserves and physical cash
- Could there be a differential effect for high and low risk?
 - Yes, e.g. credit rationing à la Stiglitz and Weiss (1981), payment risk and bank lending (Li and Li 2024)
 - But why should credit to high risk borrowers contract?

< 日 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 0 0

- What happens to banks? An inflow of short-term transaction deposits leads to
 - An equivalent increase in physical cash on the asset side
 - Potentially higher volatility of ATM withdrawals
- Banks may respond by
 - Lengthening the maturity on the asset side, i.e. extend credit to firms and households
 - Keeping extra holdings of reserves and physical cash
- Could there be a differential effect for high and low risk?
 - Yes, e.g. credit rationing à la Stiglitz and Weiss (1981), payment risk and bank lending (Li and Li 2024)
 - But why should credit to high risk borrowers contract?
- Suggestions
 - Study net withdrawals, interbank position, reserves
 - Large banks can deal better with payment risk, does it matter for credit?

Conclusion

E 990

Conclusion

- After being in Ghana just before the introduction of the e-levy in May 2022, I was excited to read Lorenzo's paper
- It is a great paper on an important topic with a rigours empirical analysis and fantastic data
- I can recommend it as an essential reading if you want to learn more about the substitutability of different monies

Conclusion

- After being in Ghana just before the introduction of the e-levy in May 2022, I was excited to read Lorenzo's paper
- It is a great paper on an important topic with a rigours empirical analysis and fantastic data
- I can recommend it as an essential reading if you want to learn more about the substitutability of different monies

Thank you!