

CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE MACROECONOMICS OF BANK CAPITAL REGULATION

by Giovanardi and Kaldorf

Discussion by Martin Oehmke (LSE)
Bundesbank Spring Conference 2023

Model Summary

Quantitative assessment of capital regulation and carbon taxes

Environmental DSGE model with

- Banks (deposit insurance → capital requirements)
- Three intermediate goods producers (non-energy, clean, fossil)
- Competitive final goods producers
- Households derive utility from consumption and liquid deposits
- Public sector sets carbon taxes and capital requirements

Leverage choice (and default) at both firm and bank level

Two Main Results

1. Higher capital requirements for loans to fossil-fuel producers have a quantitatively negligible effect on emissions
2. Capital requirements can help address carbon tax shocks and resulting risk-taking incentives

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

How do banks respond to changes in CRs?

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

How do banks respond to changes in CRs?

Banks rank loans according to maximum RoE:

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV} + \text{deposit insurance subsidy}}{CR * \text{loan amount}}$$

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

How do banks respond to changes in CRs?

Banks rank loans according to maximum RoE:

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV} + \text{deposit insurance subsidy}}{CR * \text{loan amount}}$$

Changing CR changes ranking of loans

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

How do banks respond to changes in CRs?

Banks rank loans according to maximum RoE:

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV} + \text{deposit insurance subsidy}}{CR * \text{loan amount}}$$

Changing CR changes ranking of loans

- Bank continues to make inframarginal loans
- Effect on emission depends on *marginal loan*
- Marginal loan may or may not be carbon intensive

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

Setting capital requirement to 100%

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV} + \text{deposit insurance subsidy}}{CR * \text{loan amount}}$$

becomes

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV}}{\text{loan amount}}$$

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

Setting capital requirement to 100%

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV} + \text{deposit insurance subsidy}}{CR * \text{loan amount}}$$

becomes

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV}}{\text{loan amount}}$$

- CRs can eliminate deposit insurance subsidy
- But carbon-intensive loans still funded if positive NPV

R1: Capital Requirements and Emissions

Setting capital requirement to 100%

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV} + \text{deposit insurance subsidy}}{CR * \text{loan amount}}$$

becomes

$$ROE_{max} = \frac{\text{loan NPV}}{\text{loan amount}}$$

- CRs can eliminate deposit insurance subsidy
- But carbon-intensive loans still funded if positive NPV
- In contrast, carbon tax directly reduces NPV

R1: Abatement Incentives

How do firms respond to changes in loan terms?

R1: Abatement Incentives

How do firms respond to changes in loan terms?

If capital requirement set by industry, **no effect on abatement incentives!**

- Related to discussion about blanket divestment vs “tilting” in ESG literature

R1: Abatement Incentives

How do firms respond to changes in loan terms?

If capital requirement set by industry, **no effect on abatement incentives!**

- Related to discussion about blanket divestment vs “tilting” in ESG literature

Carbon tax gives abatement incentives even within industry

R1: Abatement Incentives

How do firms respond to changes in loan terms?

If capital requirement set by industry, **no effect on abatement incentives!**

- Related to discussion about blanket divestment vs “tilting” in ESG literature

Carbon tax gives abatement incentives even within industry

- In principle, one could condition CRs on emission reduction. But practical?

R2: Carbon Tax Shock

The paper considers the following thought experiment:

- Start at steady state with optimal carbon tax
- Then consider a shock to the carbon tax

R2: Carbon Tax Shock

The paper considers the following thought experiment:

- Start at steady state with optimal carbon tax
- Then consider a shock to the carbon tax

Endogenous leverage response in response to higher carbon tax:

- Clean firms temporarily increase leverage → increase clean CR
- Fossil firms reduce leverage → reduce fossil CR

R2: Carbon Tax Shock

My preferred thought experiment would be different:

- Carbon tax is currently absent or inefficiently low
- Introduction of carbon tax negatively affects banks (stranded assets, transition risks)
- Higher fossil CRs may be required to make carbon tax credible

R2: Carbon Tax Shock

My preferred thought experiment would be different:

- Carbon tax is currently absent or inefficiently low
- Introduction of carbon tax negatively affects banks (stranded assets, transition risks)
- Higher fossil CRs may be required to make carbon tax credible

Facilitator role of CRs in Oehmke and Opp (2022)

Climate Risk

Risk shock μ_t affects all bank assets **equally**

- Makes the model tractable

Climate Risk

Risk shock μ_t affects all bank assets **equally**

- Makes the model tractable

In practice, **heterogenous effect of climate** risk on different types of firms likely important

Climate Risk

Risk shock μ_t affects all bank assets **equally**

- Makes the model tractable

In practice, **heterogenous effect of climate** risk on different types of firms likely important

- Physical risks affected by firm location

Climate Risk

Risk shock μ_t affects all bank assets **equally**

- Makes the model tractable

In practice, **heterogenous effect of climate** risk on different types of firms likely important

- Physical risks affected by firm location
- Transition risk correlates with firms' carbon intensity

Climate Risk

Risk shock μ_t affects all bank assets **equally**

- Makes the model tractable

In practice, **heterogenous effect of climate** risk on different types of firms likely important

- Physical risks affected by firm location
- Transition risk correlates with firms' carbon intensity

Current model does not speak to different types of climate risks

- Perhaps something to consider in future versions?

Summary

Quantitative assessment of capital requirements and climate change is important

Effect of capital requirements on emissions small

I would look at different carbon tax shocks, focusing on transition to optimal carbon taxes

Can you explore richer risk structure that includes transition and/or physical risks?

Thank you!