Comments on "Short-term GDP forecasting with a mixed frequency factor model with stochastic volatility"

P. Poncela

June, 2012

メロト メタト メミトメ

- **o** Review
- Model

K ロ ▶ K 伊

∢ 重き

 \rightarrow

- **o** Review
- Model
- Data

 299

 $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{E} \mathbf{I} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}$

 \rightarrow ×. E K

- **•** Review
- Model
- Data
- **•** Alternative

∢ □ ▶ ∢ ⑦

 \rightarrow Э×. -4

- **•** Review
- Model
- Data
- **•** Alternative
- **•** Conclusions

 \rightarrow

s

 \leftarrow \Box

A

重 þ. 2990

メロトス個人 メミトス

- Model:
	- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model

 \leftarrow \Box

 \rightarrow × Э×

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- \bullet Stochastic volatility in both the common factor $+$ the idiosyncratic components.

4 D F

 QQ

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor $+$ the idiosyncratic components.

4 0 8

Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor $+$ the idiosyncratic components.

4 0 8

- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler
- Goal: Short term forecast of EA GDP growth rate

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor $+$ the idiosyncratic components.

 \leftarrow

- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler
- Goal: Short term forecast of EA GDP growth rate
- \bullet Point $+$ density forecasts

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor $+$ the idiosyncratic components.
- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler
- Goal: Short term forecast of EA GDP growth rate
- \bullet Point $+$ density forecasts
- **•** Emphasis: intervals

Measurement equation for y_t

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\mathbf{y}_t & = & \mathbf{P} & f_t & + & \mathbf{u}_t, \\
N \times 1 & N \times 1 & 1 \times 1 & N \times 1\n\end{array}
$$

Common factor and specific components equation

$$
\Phi_f(L)f_t = v_t e^{\lambda_{f,t}}/2,
$$

\n
$$
\Phi_q(L)u_{q,t} = \epsilon_{q,t}\sigma_q e^{\lambda_{q,t}}/2,
$$

\n
$$
\Phi_{mj}(L)u_{m,j,t} = \epsilon_{mj,t}\sigma_{mj}v_t e^{\lambda_{mj,t}}/2
$$

Volatilitities

$$
\lambda_{i,t} = \lambda_{i,t-1} + \theta_{i,t}\sigma_{\lambda,i}
$$

 299

イロト イ団ト イミト イ

- If I sum 2 processes with SV...
- Why SV in both components?
- How does identification work?
- The proposed model tries to capture changing variance...of what type?

4 0 8

Data

Growth rates GDP Euro Area: 1991.1 2011.2 recession periods ×

After fitting an $AR(1)$ -ARCH(2), the squared residuals seem OK

4 日下

 \rightarrow - 4 로 에크

 QQ

Model $+$ data: SV in common and idiosyncratic components

- Factor 14 1.2 1.5 \mathbf{a} 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 1994 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 1994 $-$ -US-spread --- - 0 2.5 \mathbf{L} 1994 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 1994 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010

∢ ロ ▶ 《 何

Э×

 299

Þ

Figure 2: Stochastic volatility for the common factor and for selected variables

"To see whether the model picks up any significant time variation in the variances of the common and idiosyncratic errors we plot the posterior median of selected members of Q_t together with their 68% confidence bands (Figure 2)."

Do we need SV both in the common factor and idiosyncratic component?

"To see whether the model picks up any significant time variation in the variances of the common and idiosyncratic errors we plot the posterior median of selected members of Q_t together with their 68% confidence bands (Figure 2)."

- Do we need SV both in the common factor and idiosyncratic component?
- Can we make the common stochastic volatility more common?

Extracting nonlinear signals in multivariate setups

Figure 4: Forecast dispersion at different releases

4 日下

×

Measurement equation for y_t

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\mathbf{y}_t &=& \mathbf{P} & f_t + \mathbf{u}_t, \\ N \times 1 & N \times 1 & 1 \times 1 & N \times 1 \end{array}
$$

Common factor and specific components equation

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\nf_t &=& \mu_{s_t} + a_t \\
\Phi_q(L)u_{q,t} &=& \epsilon_{q,t}\sigma_{q,s_t} \\
\Phi_{mj}(L)u_{m,j,t} &=& \epsilon_{mj,t}\sigma_{mj,s_t}\n\end{array}
$$

Transition probabilities

$$
p(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i, s_{t-2} = h, ..., l_{t-1}) = p(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i) = p_{ij}
$$

4 D F

 is $(0, Σ_u)$ **. In classical factor analysis,** $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u$ **is diagonal.**

 Ω

 \leftarrow \Box

 is $(0, Σ_u)$ **. In classical factor analysis,** $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u$ **is diagonal.** $\mathbf{P} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_N)'$ is the factor loading matrix.

つひひ

4 0 8

 is $(0, Σ_u)$ **. In classical factor analysis,** $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u$ **is diagonal.** $\mathbf{P} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_N)'$ is the factor loading matrix. a_t is wn $(0, \sigma_a^2)$

- **is** $(0, Σ_u)$ **. In classical factor analysis,** $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u$ **is diagonal.**
- $\mathbf{P} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_N)'$ is the factor loading matrix.
- a_t is wn $(0, \sigma_a^2)$
- I_t is the information set up to period t.

• Hamilton (1989)

 \leftarrow \Box

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)

4 0 8

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)
- Kim and Yoo (1995), Chauvet (1996) and Kim and Nelson (1998,1999)

 \leftarrow

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)
- Kim and Yoo (1995), Chauvet (1996) and Kim and Nelson (1998,1999)
- Chauvet and Hamilton (2006), Hamilton (2011)

 \leftarrow

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)
- Kim and Yoo (1995), Chauvet (1996) and Kim and Nelson (1998,1999)
- Chauvet and Hamilton (2006), Hamilton (2011)
- Camacho, Perez-Quiros and Poncela (2012) with mixing frequencies and ragged ends

Growth rates GDP Euro Area: 1991.1 20011.2 recession periods and probability of ×, recession

∢ ロ ▶ ≺ 伊

× ×. GB 16 ∍

Let
$$
I_t^* = \left\{ (f_{\tau|\tau}^*)_{\tau=1}^{\tau=t} \right\},
$$

\n
$$
prob(s_t = j | I_t^*) = \frac{f(f_{t|t}^* | s_t = j, I_{t-1}^*) prob(s_t = j | I_{t-1}^*)}{f(f_{t|t}^* | I_{t-1}^*)}
$$

• What about the news content of the observations? The filtered linear common factor is a weighted average of all observations (present and past)

4 0 8

Let
$$
I_t^* = \left\{ (f_{\tau|\tau}^*)_{\tau=1}^{\tau=t} \right\},
$$

\n
$$
prob(s_t = j | I_t^*) = \frac{f(f_{t|t}^* | s_t = j, I_{t-1}^*) prob(s_t = j | I_{t-1}^*)}{f(f_{t|t}^* | I_{t-1}^*)}
$$

• What about the news content of the observations? The filtered linear common factor is a weighted average of all observations (present and past)

Misspecified common factor estimator

$$
f_{t|t}^* = \sum_{\tau=1}^t \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} \mathbf{y}_{\tau}
$$

Extracting nonlinear signals in multivariate setups

• How observations are weighted?

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,t} = \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} = \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1
$$

4 D F

where
$$
c_t
$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f(\mathbf{P}'\Sigma_u^{-1}\mathbf{P}, \phi) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}, \phi\right)$.

P. Poncela () June, 2012 19 / 20

Extracting nonlinear signals in multivariate setups

• How observations are weighted?

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,t} = \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} = \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1,...,1
$$

where c_t and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f\left(\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{\Sigma}_{u}^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$. • These weights depend on:

• How observations are weighted?

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,t} = \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} = \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1,..., 1
$$

where
$$
c_t
$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f(\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{\Sigma}_u^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$.

- These weights depend on:
	- the AR parameter ϕ (depends on the difference in means among regimes, and the transition and state probabilities)

4 0 8

• How observations are weighted?

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,t} = \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} = \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1,..., 1
$$

where
$$
c_t
$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f(\mathbf{P}'\Sigma_u^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$.

- These weights depend on:
	- \bullet the AR parameter ϕ (depends on the difference in means among regimes, and the transition and state probabilities)
	- the signal to noise ratios

• How observations are weighted?

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,t} = \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} = \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1
$$

where
$$
c_t
$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f(\mathbf{P}'\Sigma_u^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$.

- These weights depend on:
	- the AR parameter ϕ (depends on the difference in means among regimes, and the transition and state probabilities)
	- the signal to noise ratios
- **High volatile observations have less weight....**

More insights into the statistical properties of the model. Do you see more SV on the observed series or on the unobserved components?

4 0 8

- More insights into the statistical properties of the model. Do you see more SV on the observed series or on the unobserved components?
- For macro applications: do we need SV in both components (common and idiosyncratic)?

- More insights into the statistical properties of the model. Do you see more SV on the observed series or on the unobserved components?
- For macro applications: do we need SV in both components (common and idiosyncratic)?
- Challenge against models that try to capture the same features