Comments on "Short-term GDP forecasting with a mixed frequency factor model with stochastic volatility"

P. Poncela

June, 2012







メロト メロト メヨト メ

- Review
- Model

- Review
- Model
- Data

- Review
- Model
- Data
- Alternative

∃ ▶ ∢

◆ □ ▶ ◆ 🗇

- Review
- Model
- Data
- Alternative
- Conclusions

• Model:

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲国ト ▲国ト

- Model:
 - Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor + the idiosyncratic components.

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor + the idiosyncratic components.
- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor + the idiosyncratic components.
- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler
- Goal: Short term forecast of EA GDP growth rate

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor + the idiosyncratic components.
- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler
- Goal: Short term forecast of EA GDP growth rate
- Point + density forecasts

- Mixed frequency (monthly and quarterly) dynamic factor model
- Stochastic volatility in both the common factor + the idiosyncratic components.
- Estimation: Bayesian, through the Gibbs sampler
- Goal: Short term forecast of EA GDP growth rate
- Point + density forecasts
- Emphasis: intervals

Measurement equation for \mathbf{y}_t

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{P} \quad f_t + \mathbf{u}_t, \ N \times 1 \quad N \times 1 \quad 1 \times 1 \quad N \times 1$$

Common factor and specific components equation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi_{f}(L)f_{t} &=& v_{t}e^{\lambda_{f,t}}/2,\\ \Phi_{q}(L)u_{q,t} &=& \epsilon_{q,t}\sigma_{q}e^{\lambda_{q,t}}/2,\\ \Phi_{mj}(L)u_{m,j,t} &=& \epsilon_{mj,t}\sigma_{mj}v_{t}e^{\lambda_{mj,t}}/2 \end{array}$$

Volatilitities

$$\lambda_{i,t} = \lambda_{i,t-1} + \theta_{i,t}\sigma_{\lambda,i}$$

P. Poncela ()

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・

- If I sum 2 processes with SV...
- Why SV in both components?
- How does identification work?
- The proposed model tries to capture changing variance...of what type?

Data



Sar

P. Poncela ()



P. Poncela ()

June, 2012 7 / 20

Growth rates GDP Euro Area: 1991.1 2011.2 recession periods



After fitting an AR(1)-ARCH(2), the squared residuals seem OK

Dense - Equation FQEE Worklike UNTIFIED: Untitled]						
File Edit Object Vew Proc Duck Options Window Help						
View Proc Object Pr	int Home Freeze Lat	mote Fer	cent Sala	Resida		
Correlogram of Standardized Residuals Squared						
Date 05/30/12 Te Sample: 1995Q3.2 Included observatio Q-statistic probabili	ne: 16.42 01201 ne: 67 ties adjusted for 1 AR	ililA term	(5)			
Autocorrelation	Partial Correlation	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob	
		1 0.00 2 0.1 3 0.0 5 0.00 5 0.00 9 0.11 11 0.00 12 0.0 13 0.0 14 0.0 15 0.0 16 0.1 14 0.0 16 0.0 18 0.0 18 0.0 18 0.0 19 0.0 10 20 0.0 21 0.0 21 0.0	27 0.027 29 0.128 22 -0.019 20	0.0513 1.2203 1.2203 1.9617 2.6810 2.7250 3.0080 3.0111 3.0379 3.8382 3.01767 4.0024 5.4497 5.4567 5.4497 5.4567 5.4497 5.4567 6.5449 7.3116 8.5490 9.1953 9.1953	0.209 0.539 0.580 0.613 0.742 0.844 0.844 0.844 0.952 0.963 0.964 0.964 0.965 0.965 0.965 0.965 0.965 0.970	
-		22 000 23 -000 25 -000 26 -000 27 -000 28 0.0	27 -0.051 30 -0.059 39 -0.053 36 -0.023 34 -0.052 34 -0.052 32 0.029	9.6152 9.6152 9.7081 9.8529 10.876 11.090	0.985 0.993 0.993 0.997 0.997 0.997	



▶ ★ 差 ▶ ★



Model + data: SV in common and idiosyncratic components



Figure 2: Stochastic volatility for the common factor and for selected variables

э

"To see whether the model picks up any significant time variation in the variances of the common and idiosyncratic errors we plot the posterior median of selected members of Q_t together with their 68% confidence bands (Figure 2)."

• Do we need SV both in the common factor and idiosyncratic component?

"To see whether the model picks up any significant time variation in the variances of the common and idiosyncratic errors we plot the posterior median of selected members of Q_t together with their 68% confidence bands (Figure 2)."

- Do we need SV both in the common factor and idiosyncratic component?
- Can we make the common stochastic volatility more common?





Figure 4: Forecast dispersion at different releases



Image: Image:

Measurement equation for \mathbf{y}_t

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{P} \quad f_t + \mathbf{u}_t, \ N \times 1 \quad N \times 1 \quad 1 \times 1 \quad N \times 1$$

Common factor and specific components equation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_t &=& \mu_{s_t} + a_t \\ \Phi_q(L) u_{q,t} &=& \epsilon_{q,t} \sigma_{q,s_t} \\ \Phi_{mj}(L) u_{m,j,t} &=& \epsilon_{mj,t} \sigma_{mj,s_t} \end{array}$$

Transition probabilities

$$p(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i, s_{t-2} = h, ..., I_{t-1}) = p(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i) = p_{ij}$$

• \mathbf{u}_t is $(0, \Sigma_u)$. In classical factor analysis, Σ_u is diagonal.

u_t is (0, Σ_u). In classical factor analysis, Σ_u is diagonal.
P = (λ₁, λ₂, ..., λ_N)' is the factor loading matrix.

u_t is (0, Σ_u). In classical factor analysis, Σ_u is diagonal.
P = (λ₁, λ₂, ..., λ_N)' is the factor loading matrix.
a_t is wn (0, σ²_a)

- \mathbf{u}_t is $(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u)$. In classical factor analysis, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u$ is diagonal.
- $\mathbf{P} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_N)'$ is the factor loading matrix.
- a_t is wn $(0, \sigma_a^2)$
- I_t is the information set up to period t.

• Hamilton (1989)



- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)
- Kim and Yoo (1995), Chauvet (1996) and Kim and Nelson (1998,1999)

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)
- Kim and Yoo (1995), Chauvet (1996) and Kim and Nelson (1998,1999)
- Chauvet and Hamilton (2006), Hamilton (2011)

- Hamilton (1989)
- Diebold and Rudebush (1996)
- Kim and Yoo (1995), Chauvet (1996) and Kim and Nelson (1998,1999)
- Chauvet and Hamilton (2006), Hamilton (2011)
- Camacho, Perez-Quiros and Poncela (2012) with mixing frequencies and ragged ends

 Growth rates GDP Euro Area: 1991.1 20011.2 recession periods and probability of recession



→ ∃ →

æ

Let
$$I_t^* = \left\{ (f_{\tau|\tau}^*)_{\tau=1}^{\tau=t} \right\}$$
,
 $prob(s_t = j|I_t^*) = \frac{f(f_{t|t}^*|s_t = j, I_{t-1}^*)prob(s_t = j|I_{t-1}^*)}{f(f_{t|t}^*|I_{t-1}^*)}$

• What about the news content of the observations? The filtered linear common factor is a weighted average of all observations (present and past)

Let
$$I_t^* = \left\{ (f_{\tau|\tau}^*)_{\tau=1}^{\tau=t} \right\}$$
,
 $prob(s_t = j|I_t^*) = \frac{f(f_{t|t}^*|s_t = j, I_{t-1}^*)prob(s_t = j|I_{t-1}^*)}{f(f_{t|t}^*|I_{t-1}^*)}$

 What about the news content of the observations? The filtered linear common factor is a weighted average of all observations (present and past)

Misspecified common factor estimator

$$f_{t|t}^* = \sum_{ au=1}^t \mathbf{w}_{t, au} \mathbf{y}_{ au}$$

• How observations are weighted?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}_{t,t} &= \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u^{-1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} &= \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1 \end{split}$$

where
$$c_t$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f\left(\mathbf{P}' \mathbf{\Sigma}_u^{-1} \mathbf{P}, \phi\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N rac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}, \phi\right)$.

June, 2012 19 / 20

• How observations are weighted?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}_{t,t} &= \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} &= \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1 \end{split}$$

where c_t and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f\left(\mathbf{P}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$. • These weights depend on:

• How observations are weighted?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}_{t,t} &= \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \mathbf{\Sigma}_u^{-1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} &= \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1 \end{split}$$

where
$$c_t$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f\left(\mathbf{P}' \mathbf{\Sigma}_u^{-1} \mathbf{P}, \phi
ight) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N rac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}, \phi
ight)$.

- These weights depend on:
 - the AR parameter ϕ (depends on the difference in means among regimes, and the transition and state probabilities)

• How observations are weighted?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}_{t,t} &= \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} &= \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1 \end{split}$$

where
$$c_t$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f\left(\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{\Sigma}_u^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$.

- These weights depend on:
 - the AR parameter ϕ (depends on the difference in means among regimes, and the transition and state probabilities)
 - the signal to noise ratios

• How observations are weighted?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}_{t,t} &= \frac{1}{c_t} \mathbf{P}' \Sigma_u^{-1} \\ \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau} &= \frac{1}{c_\tau} \frac{1}{V_{\tau|\tau-1}} \phi \mathbf{w}_{t,\tau+1} \text{ for } \tau = t-1, ..., 1 \end{split}$$

where
$$c_t$$
 and $V_{t|t-1}$ are $f\left(\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{\Sigma}_u^{-1}\mathbf{P},\phi\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\sigma_i^2},\phi\right)$.

- These weights depend on:
 - the AR parameter ϕ (depends on the difference in means among regimes, and the transition and state probabilities)
 - the signal to noise ratios
- High volatile observations have less weight....

• More insights into the statistical properties of the model. Do you see more SV on the observed series or on the unobserved components?

- More insights into the statistical properties of the model. Do you see more SV on the observed series or on the unobserved components?
- For macro applications: do we need SV in both components (common and idiosyncratic)?

- More insights into the statistical properties of the model. Do you see more SV on the observed series or on the unobserved components?
- For macro applications: do we need SV in both components (common and idiosyncratic)?
- Challenge against models that try to capture the same features