



Workshop on

# **“The Costs and Benefits of International Banking”**

Eltville, 18 October 2010

**Friederike Niepmann**

European University Institute Florence

Discussion of

**“A gravity equation of bank loans“**

# Discussion of “A Gravity Equation for Bank Loans” by Brueggemann, Kleinert, Prieto

Friederike Niepmann

European University Institute

Bundesbank Workshop

18.10.2011

# Summary

- Gravity equation for bank loan
- The borrowing costs of a firm  $g$  in country  $i$  that borrows from a bank  $k$  from country  $j$  consist of four different components:

$$c_{igjk} = r_j + \tau_{ij} + a_j + \epsilon_{igjk} \quad (1)$$

- $r_j$ : interest rate prevailing in country  $j$
- $\tau_{ij}$ : transaction cost related to distance
- $a_j$ : average bank characteristics in country  $j$  (largely unobserved)
- $\epsilon_{igjk}$ : unobservable component
- Can be written as:

$$c_{igjk} = \bar{c}_{ij} + \epsilon_{igjk} \quad (2)$$

# Summary

- Probability that bank  $k$  from country  $i$  is chosen by a firm  $g$  from country  $k$ :

$$P_{igjk} = Pr(c_{igjk} = \min\{c_{l1} \dots c_{ln_l}; l = 1, \dots, N\}) \quad (3)$$

$$= \prod_{l=1}^N \prod_{h=1}^{n_l} [1 - F(\bar{c}_{ij} - \bar{c}_{il} + x)] \quad (4)$$

- Summing over all banks within one country and assuming pdf  $f(x)$ :

$$P_{ijk} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \prod_{l=1}^N [1 - F(\bar{c}_{ij} - \bar{c}_{il} + x)]^{n_l} dx \quad (5)$$

# Summary

- Assuming that  $\epsilon_{igjk}$  is normally distributed, minima are Gumbel distributed:

$$P_{ijk} = \frac{\exp(-\frac{\bar{c}_{ij}}{\sigma})}{\sum_{l=1}^N n_l \exp(-\frac{\bar{c}_{il}}{\sigma})}, \quad (6)$$

- Summing over all banks and assuming an exogenous demand for bank loans denoted  $BL_i$ , the authors obtain bank loans from country  $j$  to country  $i$  as:

$$BA_{ji} = \frac{n_j \exp(-\frac{r_j + \tau_{ij} + a_j}{\sigma})}{\sum_{l=1}^N n_l \exp(-\frac{r_l + \tau_{il} + a_l}{\sigma})} BL_i \quad (7)$$

- Authors go on and estimate this equation using data on foreign assets

# Praise

- Authors think about the demand side of cross-border banking:
  - ▶ Banks extend loans abroad because firms demand their services
  - ▶ Services are demanded because of lower costs
  - ▶ Decomposition of costs into interest rate and other costs (transaction, monitoring costs)
- “Multilateral resistance”: the loans between two countries depend on other third-country characteristics
- Great job in going from the theory to the empirics
- Nicely written, easy to understand

# Major Comments

Why only consider cross-border loans, but not loans of foreign affiliates in the host market?

- Assumption: Firm searches for a bank loan in a foreign country
- Locational Banking Statistics: loans by foreign owned banks resident in country  $i$  not considered as a foreign bank loan
- Rise in foreign bank assets to a large extent due to activities of foreign affiliates (see BIS)
- Motivate more why LBS is better than CBS and why your model explains only cross-border loans

# Major Comments

Bank loans between  $i$  and  $j$  depend directly on the number of firms in country  $j$

- Different mechanism for why number of firms matters: competition effect
- $n_j$  proxied by market share of largest three banks, effectively a measure of concentration of the banking sector in country  $j$
- Relate results to the finding that the more concentrated a banking sector, the less it goes international (e.g. Focarelli and Pozzolo (2005))



# Major Comments

## Reduced-form model

- Gravity equation only partly derived
- Demand for bank loans is exogenous
- Result of a gravity relationship relies on a distributional assumption
- Provide more evidence for the assumption of a Gumbel distribution

## Minor Comments

- Stress more what is new theoretically and empirically
- Interpretation of results: hard to separate effects because of confounded measures; e.g. market structure and concentration also affect interest income
- Interesting that source-country  $\times$  year and recipient country  $\times$  year fixed effects give more significant results than source-country, recipient country and year fixed effects. What about results for cross-section?

## Minor Comments

- Elaborate on why gravity relationship is not found
- Compare results to Aviat & Coeurdacier (2007), who report similar distance coefficients showing that the effect of distance is much smaller on bank assets than on trade flows
- A bit more details on how the different variables are constructed, especially those in the Financial Structure Database

# Final Remarks

- Gravity equations very successful in economics
- Great to think about the demand aspects of cross-border banking
- Other approach: Niepmann (2011)
  - ▶ Microfounded interest rate
  - ▶ General equilibrium
  - ▶ Gravity equation does not hold in general

Many thanks.