

Structural Change and the Rise in Markups

Ricardo Marto

Discussion by Agnieszka Markiewicz
Erasmus University Rotterdam

Bundesbank Conf Centre
Eltville
May 8, 2024

Outline

- Summary
- Decomposition
- Relative productivity growth

Can structural change explain recent increase in markups?

- 2 sector model of structural change
- Monopolistic competition in both: Services (**s**) and goods (**g**) sector
- Structural change:
 - 1 Increase in relative productivity in manufacturing
 - 2 Increase in relative demand for services
- 2 types of consumers: high-skilled and low-skilled
- Skill-biased technological change
- High-skilled=wealthy, low-skilled=poor
- Non-homothetic preferences over goods and services
- Price elasticity of demand is different across consumer types and for different prices of the same good/service

Rise in markups

1 Goods sector

- Relative productivity in manufacturing $\uparrow \rightarrow$ marginal cost in manufacturing \downarrow
- Relative price of goods $\downarrow \rightarrow$ Price-elasticity of demand $\downarrow +$ Imperfect competition \rightarrow Firms can charge higher markups

2 Services sector

- Increase in income (wealth inequality)
 - High-skill consumers become wealthier \rightarrow price-elasticity of demand \downarrow
Demand for luxuries=services $\uparrow +$ Imperfect competition
 - Firms can charge higher markups
-
- Quantitative model matching the trends in the data to assess relative importance of **1** vs **2**

Results: Missing reallocation

- Contribution of services to aggregate markup grew from 46% in 1980 to 72%
- $\frac{2}{3}$ of increase in aggregate markup is driven by growth in markups of services
- 7% is due to reallocation from goods to services
- **Why is reallocation component so small?**
- De Loecker et al (2020): $\frac{2}{3}$ of increase in aggregate markup is driven by reallocation towards high-markup firms

Results: Missing reallocation

- **Why is reallocation component so small?**

$$\mu_t = \omega_{s,t} \overline{\mu_{s,t}} + (1 - \omega_{s,t}) \overline{\mu_{g,t}}$$

$$\overline{\mu_{s,t}} = \sum \omega_{i,t}^s \mu_{i,t}^s$$

$$\overline{\mu_{g,t}} = \sum \omega_{j,t}^g \mu_{j,t}^g$$

- There is much more reallocation within services and manufacturing
- Alternative interpretation:
- There has been reallocation of market shares towards high-markup firms within services, without increase in services markups

Decomposition: Missing reallocation

- Decomposition of markup change: Haltiwanger (1997), Olley and Pakes (1996)
- $\Delta\mu = \text{within} + \text{between} + \text{cross}$
- Paper uses **shift-share decomposition**
- Define:
 - $\overline{w_g} = \frac{w_{g,t} + w_{g,t-1}}{2}$
 - $\overline{\mu_g} = \frac{\mu_{g,t} + \mu_{g,t-1}}{2}$

$$\Delta\mu_t = \underbrace{\overline{w_g}\Delta\mu_{g,t} + \overline{w_s}\Delta\mu_{s,t}}_{\text{Shift-share within}} + \underbrace{\overline{\mu_g}\Delta w_{g,t} + \overline{\mu_s}\Delta w_{s,t}}_{\text{Shift-share between}}$$

Decomposition

- Haltiwanger decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mu_t = & \underbrace{w_{g,t-1}\Delta\mu_{g,t} + w_{s,t-1}\Delta\mu_{s,t}}_{\text{Haltiwanger within}} + \underbrace{\mu_{g,t-1}\Delta w_{g,t} + \mu_{s,t-1}\Delta w_{s,t}}_{\text{Haltiwanger between}} \\ & + \underbrace{\Delta\mu_{g,t}\Delta w_{g,t} + \Delta\mu_{s,t}\Delta w_{s,t}}_{\text{Haltiwanger cross}}\end{aligned}$$

Decomposition

- Weder (2023)
- Shift-share between = Haltiwanger between + $\frac{1}{2}$ Haltiwanger cross
- Shift-share within = Haltiwanger within + $\frac{1}{2}$ Haltiwanger cross
- Haltiwanger cross = $\Delta\mu_{g,t}\Delta w_{g,t} + \Delta\mu_{s,t}\Delta w_{s,t}$
- If cross component < 0 , De Loecker et al (2020) \rightarrow reallocation component is underestimated by shift share decomposition
- Matters for the mechanism: If reallocation is large, the shift is not simply driven by increase in productivity in good sectors
 \rightarrow Use Haltiwanger method and decompose increase in markups within services and goods sectors

Relative Productivity

- Relative productivity of manufacturing firms increased → relative prices of services went up
- Intangible capital used more intensively in services sectors
- **Industrial revolution of services:** Hsieh and Rossi-Hansberg (2023)
- New fixed-cost-intensive technologies that yield lower marginal costs of services sectors (U.S. Hospitals)

- **Why does it matter?**
- Observed decline in relative prices of goods vs services is driven by something else than productivity gains
- If services have become relatively more productive, their higher prices reflect higher market concentration and markups
- Matters for mechanism and welfare analysis

Relative Productivity

- Empirics: Motivating discussion of relative productivity
- Empirics: Compute TFP using production function approach
- Theory: could I get the same result with services becoming relatively more productive? → pass-through of lower marginal cost negative due to high market concentration and low price elasticity

Conclusion

- Great idea
- Important paper
- Very intuitive model
- Is it reallocation to most productive firms in services?