# Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

Francesco Bianchi

Duke University & JHU NBER & CEPR Leonardo Melosi

FRB Chicago CEPR Anna Rogantini Picco

Sveriges Riksbank

The views in this paper are solely those of the authors and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or any other person associated with the Federal Reserve System, or the Sveriges Riksbank.

#### Where Does the Euro Area Stand?

More countries in EA have now elevated debt

Figure: EA debt-to-GDP ratio



- Required fiscal adjustments likely to be persistent drag on economy

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

#### Where Does the Euro Area Stand?

#### Figure: CPI inflation rate



- Low inflation rates constrain the ECB's ability to alleviate this drag

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

3

With

1. Little fiscal space left due to large public debt

#### With

- 1. Little fiscal space left due to large public debt
- 2. Limited space of manoeuvre for monetary policy

#### With

- 1. Little fiscal space left due to large public debt
- 2. Limited space of manoeuvre for monetary policy
  - ... COVID19 pandemic found policymakers constrained

With

- 1. Little fiscal space left due to large public debt
- 2. Limited space of manoeuvre for monetary policy

... COVID19 pandemic found policymakers constrained

# What to Do?

+ A new monetary and fiscal framework that separates: the need of short-run macroeconomic stabilisation... ...from issue of long-run stabilisation of national debts.

+ A new monetary and fiscal framework that separates: the need of short-run macroeconomic stabilisation... ...from issue of long-run stabilisation of national debts.

 $+\,$  EA authorities coordinate on policy response to EA recession:

1. The fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus

+ A new monetary and fiscal framework that separates: the need of short-run macroeconomic stabilisation... ...from issue of long-run stabilisation of national debts.

 $+\,$  EA authorities coordinate on policy response to EA recession:

- 1. The fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus
- 2. Central bank tolerates inflation needed to stabilise increase in Eurobonds

+ A new monetary and fiscal framework that separates: the need of short-run macroeconomic stabilisation... ...from issue of long-run stabilisation of national debts.

+ EA authorities coordinate on policy response to EA recession:

- 1. The fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus
- 2. Central bank tolerates inflation needed to stabilise increase in Eurobonds
- 3. National governments fiscally responsible to stabilise national debt

+ A new monetary and fiscal framework that separates: the need of short-run macroeconomic stabilisation... ...from issue of long-run stabilisation of national debts.

+ EA authorities coordinate on policy response to EA recession:

- 1. The fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus
- 2. Central bank tolerates inflation needed to stabilise increase in Eurobonds
- 3. National governments fiscally responsible to stabilise national debt
- + Two-country monetary union model calibrated to EA to evaluate:
  - 1. New vs old framework based on unconditional monetary dominance

+ A new monetary and fiscal framework that separates: the need of short-run macroeconomic stabilisation... ...from issue of long-run stabilisation of national debts.

+ EA authorities coordinate on policy response to EA recession:

- 1. The fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus
- 2. Central bank tolerates inflation needed to stabilise increase in Eurobonds
- 3. National governments fiscally responsible to stabilise national debt

+ Two-country monetary union model calibrated to EA to evaluate:

- 1. New vs old framework based on unconditional monetary dominance
- 2. Effects of a high-debt country refusing to comply with EA fiscal rules

+ Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally

 $+\,$  Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally

+ Eurobonds-based framework delivers better outcomes when ZLB risk

- + Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally
- + Eurobonds-based framework delivers better outcomes when ZLB risk
  - 1. Smaller recessions  $\rightarrow$  lower national debt ratios  $\rightarrow$  stronger recoveries

- + Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally
- + Eurobonds-based framework delivers better outcomes when ZLB risk
  - 1. Smaller recessions  $\rightarrow$  lower national debt ratios  $\rightarrow$  stronger recoveries
  - 2. Controlled reflation of EA  $\rightarrow$  easing constraints on monetary policy

- + Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally
- + Eurobonds-based framework delivers better outcomes when ZLB risk
  - 1. Smaller recessions  $\rightarrow$  lower national debt ratios  $\rightarrow$  stronger recoveries
  - 2. Controlled reflation of EA  $\rightarrow$  easing constraints on monetary policy
  - 3. Inflation increases modestly because of general equilibrium effects

- + Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally
- + Eurobonds-based framework delivers better outcomes when ZLB risk
  - 1. Smaller recessions  $\rightarrow$  lower national debt ratios  $\rightarrow$  stronger recoveries
  - 2. Controlled reflation of EA  $\rightarrow$  easing constraints on monetary policy
  - 3. Inflation increases modestly because of general equilibrium effects
  - 4. Welfare improves both in high-debt and low-debt countries

- + Monetary-led policy mix not the best strategy unconditionally
- + Eurobonds-based framework delivers better outcomes when ZLB risk
  - 1. Smaller recessions  $\rightarrow$  lower national debt ratios  $\rightarrow$  stronger recoveries
  - 2. Controlled reflation of EA  $\rightarrow$  easing constraints on monetary policy
  - 3. Inflation increases modestly because of general equilibrium effects
  - 4. Welfare improves both in high-debt and low-debt countries
- + Defiant high-debt country may spark spiral of inflation-recession-debt

🕨 lit

• Two-country currency union model with fiscal policy and public debt

- Two-country currency union model with fiscal policy and public debt
- Backbone: Medium scale NK model (Leeper, Traum, Walker, 2017)
  - $+\,$  Households consume both domestic and imported goods
  - +  $\,$  Two types of households: savers and hand-to-mouth
  - + Sticky prices
  - + Sticky wages

- Two-country currency union model with fiscal policy and public debt
- Backbone: Medium scale NK model (Leeper, Traum, Walker, 2017)
  - $\ + \$  Households consume both domestic and imported goods
  - +  $\,$  Two types of households: savers and hand-to-mouth
  - + Sticky prices
  - + Sticky wages
- Government debt
  - + Each national fiscal authority issues national bonds
  - + A currency union fiscal authority issues Eurobonds

- Two-country currency union model with fiscal policy and public debt
- Backbone: Medium scale NK model (Leeper, Traum, Walker, 2017)
  - $\ + \$  Households consume both domestic and imported goods
  - +  $\,$  Two types of households: savers and hand-to-mouth
  - + Sticky prices
  - + Sticky wages
- Government debt
  - + Each national fiscal authority issues national bonds
  - + A currency union fiscal authority issues Eurobonds
- Fiscal authorities use fiscal instruments:  $\tau^L, \tau^K, \tau^C, G, Z$

#### **Fiscal Discipline**

Fiscal authorities follow fiscal rules to stabilise their debts

+ National fiscal rules for  $i \in \{IT, DE\}$ 

$$\hat{\tau}_{i,t}^{J} = 
ho_{J} \hat{\tau}_{i,t-1}^{J} + (1 - 
ho_{J}) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{J_{i}} \hat{s}_{b_{i},t-1}$$

$$\hat{g}_{i,t} = 
ho_G \hat{g}_{i,t-1} - (1 - 
ho_G) oldsymbol{\gamma}_{G_i} \hat{s}_{b_i,t-1}$$

$$\hat{z}_{i,t} = \rho_Z \hat{z}_{i,t-1} - (1-\rho_Z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{Z_i} \hat{s}_{b_i,t-1} - (1-\rho_Z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{ZY_i} \hat{y}_{t-1}$$

 $J \in \{C, L, K\}$  and  $\hat{s}_{i,t} = \hat{b}_{i,t} - \hat{y}_{i,t}$  national debt-to-GDP ratio

#### **Fiscal Discipline**

Fiscal authorities follow fiscal rules to stabilise their debts

+ National fiscal rules for  $i \in \{IT, DE\}$ 

$$\hat{\tau}_{i,t}^J = \rho_J \hat{\tau}_{i,t-1}^J + (1 - \rho_J) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{J_i} \hat{s}_{b_i,t-1}$$

$$\hat{g}_{i,t} = 
ho_G \hat{g}_{i,t-1} - (1 - 
ho_G) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{G_i} \hat{s}_{b_i,t-1}$$

$$\hat{z}_{i,t} = \rho_Z \hat{z}_{i,t-1} - (1 - \rho_Z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{Z_i} \hat{s}_{b_i,t-1} - (1 - \rho_Z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{ZY_i} \hat{y}_{t-1}$$

 $J \in \{C, L, K\}$  and  $\hat{s}_{i,t} = \hat{b}_{i,t} - \hat{y}_{i,t}$  national debt-to-GDP ratio

+ EA fiscal rules

$$\hat{\tau}_{\textit{EA},t}^{J} = 
ho_{J}\hat{\tau}_{\textit{EA},t-1}^{J} + (1-
ho_{J})\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{J}\hat{s}_{\textit{bEA},t-1}$$

$$\hat{z}_{EA,t} = \rho_Z \hat{z}_{EA,t-1} - (1 - \rho_Z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_Z \hat{s}_{bEA,t-1} - (1 - \rho_Z) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{ZY} \hat{y}_{EA,t-1}$$

$$J \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K}\}$$
 and  $\hat{s}_{\textit{bEA},t} = \hat{b}_{\textit{EA},t} - \hat{y}_{\textit{EA},t}$  is EA debt-to-GDP ratio

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

#### Fiscal Discipline

+ The EA monetary authority follows a Taylor rule

$$\hat{R}_{t} = \max\left\{-\ln R^{*}, \rho_{r}\hat{R}_{t-1} + (1-\rho_{r})\left[\phi_{\pi}\hat{\pi}_{EA,t} + \phi_{y}\hat{y}_{EA,t}\right]\right\}$$

where  $\hat{\pi}_{EA,t} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}_{1,t} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}_{2,t}$  and  $\hat{y}_{EA,t} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{y}_{1,t} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{y}_{2,t}$  are at EA level

 $+\,$  The Taylor principle is satisfied; i.e.,  $\phi_\pi>1$ 

+ ZLB: sequence of anticipated shocks to unconstrained Taylor rule

 $+\,$  EA policy authorities coordinate on a response to EA recession

- + EA policy authorities coordinate on a response to EA recession
- + EA fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus

- + EA policy authorities coordinate on a response to EA recession
- + EA fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus
- $+\,$  Central bank tolerates increase in inflation to stabilise that amount of Eurobonds

- + EA policy authorities coordinate on a response to EA recession
- + EA fiscal authority issues Eurobonds to finance the fiscal stimulus
- $+\,$  Central bank tolerates increase in inflation to stabilise that amount of Eurobonds
- $+\,$  National governments follow fiscal rules to stabilise national debts

+ EA fiscal rules  $(J \in \{K, L, C\})$ 

$$\hat{\tau}_{EA,t}^{J} = \rho_{J} \hat{\tau}_{EA,t-1}^{J} + (1 - \rho_{J}) \left[ \gamma_{J} \hat{s}_{EA,t-1}^{P} + \gamma_{J}^{A} \left( \hat{s}_{EA,t-1} - \hat{s}_{EA,t-1}^{P} \right) \right]$$

$$\hat{z}_{EA,t} = \rho_{Z} \hat{z}_{EA,t-1} - (1 - \rho_{Z}) \left\{ \left[ \gamma_{Z} \hat{s}_{EA,t-1}^{P} + \gamma_{Z}^{A} \left( \hat{s}_{EA,t-1} - \hat{s}_{EA,t-1}^{P} \right) \right] + \gamma_{ZY} \hat{y}_{EA,t-1} \right\}$$

where  $\gamma_J \geq \beta^{-1} - 1 \geq \gamma_J^A = 0$ 

 $\gamma_Z \geq \beta^{-1} - 1 \geq \gamma_Z^A = \mathbf{0}$ 

 $\hat{s}^{P}_{\textit{EA},t-1}$  is Eurobonds to output ratio  $\underline{\sf IF}$  no symmetric recessionary shock

+ EA monetary authority tolerates increase in inflation to stabilise amount of Eurobonds due to EA symmetric recession

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}_{t} &= \max\left\{-\ln R_{*}, \rho_{R}\hat{R}_{t-1} + (1-\rho_{R})\left[\phi_{\pi}\hat{\pi}_{EA,t}^{P} + \phi_{\pi}^{P}\left(\hat{\pi}_{t} - \hat{\pi}_{EA,t}^{P}\right) + \phi_{y}\hat{y}_{EA,t}\right]\right\}\\ \text{with } \phi_{\pi} > 1 > \phi_{\pi}^{P} = 0 \end{split}$$

+ EA monetary authority tolerates increase in inflation to stabilise amount of Eurobonds due to EA symmetric recession

$$\hat{R}_{t} = \max\left\{-\ln R_{*}, \rho_{R}\hat{R}_{t-1} + (1-\rho_{R})\left[\phi_{\pi}\hat{\pi}_{EA,t}^{P} + \phi_{\pi}^{P}\left(\hat{\pi}_{t} - \hat{\pi}_{EA,t}^{P}\right) + \phi_{y}\hat{y}_{EA,t}\right]\right\}$$
with  $\phi_{\pi} > 1 > \phi_{\pi}^{P} = 0$ 

 $+ \hat{\pi}_{EA,t} - \hat{\pi}^{P}_{EA,t}$  inflation increase necessary to stabilise  $\hat{s}_{EA,t-1} - \hat{s}^{P}_{EA,t-1}$ 

+ How do we pin  $\hat{s}_{EA,t}^{P}$  and  $\hat{\pi}_{EA,t}^{P}$  down?

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

Dec 2021

12

We construct a **counterfactual economy** where:

- + Symmetric recessionary shocks are shut down
- +~ The ZLB never binds
- + Policymakers follow Fiscal Discipline

#### Our Exercise

 $+\,$  Recession induced through one standard dev. risk-premium shock

- Persistence: Match average EABCN peak-to-trough
- Volatility: Match output volatility over 1999Q1-2019Q4
# Our Exercise

 $+\,$  Recession induced through one standard dev. risk-premium shock

- Persistence: Match average EABCN peak-to-trough
- Volatility: Match output volatility over 1999Q1-2019Q4

 $+\,$  Recessionary shock hits when debt-to-GDP away from steady state:

- Country 1 (Italy): annual debt-to-GDP 134.8%
- Country 2 (Germany): annual debt-to-GDP 61.9%

# Our Exercise

 $+\,$  Recession induced through one standard dev. risk-premium shock

- Persistence: Match average EABCN peak-to-trough
- Volatility: Match output volatility over 1999Q1-2019Q4

 $+\,$  Recessionary shock hits when debt-to-GDP away from steady state:

- Country 1 (Italy): annual debt-to-GDP 134.8%
- Country 2 (Germany): annual debt-to-GDP 61.9%
- + Compare:
  - 1. Fiscal discipline
  - 2. Emergency budget

calibration



- Fiscal discipline in response to shock costly for both countries
- Using EA emergency budget mitigates recession in both countries



- Less fiscal adjustment at national level under emergency budget



- Euro area emergency budget lowers national debt-to-GDP



- Some increase in inflation
- Higher nominal rates lowers ZLB risk  $\rightarrow$  lower frequency of ZLB
- Central bank can escape ZLB



- When monetary policy unconstrained, it is effective stabilisation tool



- Large national debt matters somewhat for recovery under fiscal discipline



- If ZLB binds, no stabilisation tools for high-debt countries under fiscal discipline
- Very costly, also for low-debt countries because EA integrated



- Scope for Eurobonds as stabilisation tool if ZLB binds and large national debt

# Welfare Implications

Volatilities	Fiscal Discipline	Emergency Budget
Euro Area Output	16.797	11.707
Euro Area Inflation	0.617	0.427
High-Debt Country Output	18.103	12.273
High-Debt Country Inflation	0.640	0.426
Low-Debt Country Output	15.516	11.147
Low-Debt Country Inflation	0.640	0.426
ZLB Frequency	0.210	0.089

Table: Volatilities of Output and Inflation for 1000 simulations of 40 periods under *Fiscal Discipline* and *Emergency Budget*.

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

+ Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

 $+\,$  Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

1. Keep following fiscal discipline unconditionally

+ Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

- 1. Keep following fiscal discipline unconditionally
- 2. Reform EA policy framework to leverage synergy between monetary and fiscal policies

 $+\,$  Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

- 1. Keep following fiscal discipline unconditionally
- 2. Reform EA policy framework to leverage synergy between monetary and fiscal policies

+ Overhaul current monetary/fiscal framework based on Eurobonds:

 $+\,$  Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

- 1. Keep following fiscal discipline unconditionally
- 2. Reform EA policy framework to leverage synergy between monetary and fiscal policies
- + Overhaul current monetary/fiscal framework based on Eurobonds:
  - 1. To separate the need for short-run stabilisation from the issue of long-run fiscal sustainability

+ Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

- 1. Keep following fiscal discipline unconditionally
- 2. Reform EA policy framework to leverage synergy between monetary and fiscal policies
- + Overhaul current monetary/fiscal framework based on Eurobonds:
  - 1. To separate the need for short-run stabilisation from the issue of long-run fiscal sustainability
  - 2. To make monetary policy a more effective stabilisation tool to the advantage of all EA countries

 $+\,$  Deterioration of fiscal positions put EA countries at crossroads:

- 1. Keep following fiscal discipline unconditionally
- 2. Reform EA policy framework to leverage synergy between monetary and fiscal policies
- + Overhaul current monetary/fiscal framework based on Eurobonds:
  - 1. To separate the need for short-run stabilisation from the issue of long-run fiscal sustainability
  - 2. To make monetary policy a more effective stabilisation tool to the advantage of all EA countries
- + Policies in one country affect outcomes in all countries, including the ones with more solid fiscal fundamentals
  - $\rightarrow$  coordination required to maximize benefits.

# Appendix

### Where Does the Euro Area Stand?



- Low and flat term structure considerably constrains monetary policy
- Limited space for the ECB to stabilize the EA economy in recession

### Literature

+ Monetary and fiscal policy in currency unions (CU)

 Beetsma and Jensen (2005), Galí and Monacelli (2008), Ferrero (2009), Nakamura and Steinsson (2014), Farhi and Werning (2017)

### Literature

+ Monetary and fiscal policy in currency unions (CU)

- Beetsma and Jensen (2005), Galí and Monacelli (2008), Ferrero (2009), Nakamura and Steinsson (2014), Farhi and Werning (2017)
- + Fiscal theory of the price level
  - Sargent and Wallace (1981), Leeper (1991), Sims, (1994), Woodford, (1994, 1995, 2001); Cochrane (1999, 2001), Bergin (2000), Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe (2020), Jarocinski and Mackowiak (2017), Bianchi and Melosi (2019), Bianchi, Faccini, and Melosi (2020)

### Literature

+ Monetary and fiscal policy in currency unions (CU)

- Beetsma and Jensen (2005), Galí and Monacelli (2008), Ferrero (2009), Nakamura and Steinsson (2014), Farhi and Werning (2017)
- + Fiscal theory of the price level
  - Sargent and Wallace (1981), Leeper (1991), Sims, (1994), Woodford, (1994, 1995, 2001); Cochrane (1999, 2001), Bergin (2000), Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe (2020), Jarocinski and Mackowiak (2017), Bianchi and Melosi (2019), Bianchi, Faccini, and Melosi (2020)

This paper: Monetary-fiscal coordination in CU with Eurobonds

Back

• Households:

• Final goods firms:

• Intermediate goods firms:

• Labor packers:

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

#### • Households:

- + savers and hand-to-mouth
- + value public consumption as a complement to private consumption
- + if savers, wage setters subject to a Calvo lottery
- $\ + \$  if savers, invest in physical capital and rent a share to domestic firms
- + if savers, buy their national debt, Eurobonds, and have access to state-contingent securities

#### • Final goods firms:

• Intermediate goods firms:

#### • Labor packers:

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

preferences

#### • Households:

- + savers and hand-to-mouth
- $\ + \$  value public consumption as a complement to private consumption
- + if savers, wage setters subject to a Calvo lottery
- $\ + \$  if savers, invest in physical capital and rent a share to domestic firms
- + if savers, buy their national debt, Eurobonds, and have access to state-contingent securities

#### • Final goods firms:

- $\ + \$  combine domestic and imported good with CES aggregator
- + sell this good to domestic households

#### • Intermediate goods firms:

#### • Labor packers:

▶ preferences

▶ final goods

#### Households:

- + savers and hand-to-mouth
- + value public consumption as a complement to private consumption
- + if savers, wage setters subject to a Calvo lottery
- + if savers, invest in physical capital and rent a share to domestic firms
- + if savers, buy their national debt, Eurobonds, and have access to state-contingent securities

#### • Final goods firms:

- + combine domestic and imported good with CES aggregator
- + sell this good to domestic households

#### Intermediate goods firms:

- + hire labor and rent capital in competitive markets
- + price setters subject to a Calvo lottery
- + sell goods to domestic and foreign final goods firms

#### • Labor packers:

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco





#### Households:

- + savers and hand-to-mouth
- + value public consumption as a complement to private consumption
- + if savers, wage setters subject to a Calvo lottery
- + if savers, invest in physical capital and rent a share to domestic firms
- + if savers, buy their national debt, Eurobonds, and have access to state-contingent securities

#### • Final goods firms:

- + combine domestic and imported good with CES aggregator
- + sell this good to domestic households

#### Intermediate goods firms:

- + hire labor and rent capital in competitive markets
- + price setters subject to a Calvo lottery
- + sell goods to domestic and foreign final goods firms

#### • Labor packers:

- + assemble differentiated labor input supplied by households <a href="https://www.input.supplied.com">https://www.input.supplied.com</a>
- + sell homogeneous labor to domestic firms in competitive market

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?









• National governments

• EA fiscal authority

#### • EA monetary authority

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

- National governments
  - $\ + \$  issue national debts with a maturity structure to domestic savers
  - $+ \,$  levy distortionary taxes on domestic households
  - $+\,$  purchase goods and transfer resources to domestic households

 $P_t^B B_t + \tau_t^K R_t^K K_t + \tau_t^L W_t L_t + \tau_t^C P_t^C C_t = (1 + \rho P_t^B) B_{t-1} + P_t^C G_t + P_t^C Z_t$ 

• EA fiscal authority

#### • EA monetary authority

- National governments
  - $\ + \$  issue national debts with a maturity structure to domestic savers
  - $+ \,$  levy distortionary taxes on domestic households
  - $+ \,$  purchase goods and transfer resources to domestic households

 $P_t^B B_t + \tau_t^K R_t^K K_t + \tau_t^L W_t L_t + \tau_t^C P_t^C C_t = (1 + \rho P_t^B) B_{t-1} + P_t^C G_t + P_t^C Z_t$ 

#### • EA fiscal authority

- + issues Eurobonds with a maturity structure to home and foreign country's savers
- $+\,$  levies distortionary taxes on home and foreign country's households
- $+\,$  transfers resources to home and foreign country's households

$$P_t^{B,EA} B_t^{EA} + \tau_t^{EA,K} (R_t^K K_t + R_t^K K_t^*) + \tau_t^{EA,L} (W_t L_t + W_t^* L_t^*) + \tau_t^{EA,C} (P_t^C C_t + P_t^{C*} C_t^*) = (1 + \rho_{EA} P_t^{B,EA}) B_{t-1}^{EA} + P_t^C Z_t + P_t^{C*} Z_t^*$$

• EA monetary authority

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

- National governments
  - $\ + \$  issue national debts with a maturity structure to domestic savers
  - $+ \,$  levy distortionary taxes on domestic households
  - $+\,$  purchase goods and transfer resources to domestic households

 $P_t^B B_t + \tau_t^K R_t^K K_t + \tau_t^L W_t L_t + \tau_t^C P_t^C C_t = (1 + \rho P_t^B) B_{t-1} + P_t^C G_t + P_t^C Z_t$ 

#### • EA fiscal authority

- + issues Eurobonds with a maturity structure to home and foreign country's savers
- $+\,$  levies distortionary taxes on home and foreign country's households
- $+\,$  transfers resources to home and foreign country's households

$$P_t^{B,EA} B_t^{EA} + \tau_t^{EA,K} (R_t^K K_t + R_t^K K_t^*) + \tau_t^{EA,L} (W_t L_t + W_t^* L_t^*) + \tau_t^{EA,C} (P_t^C C_t + P_t^{C*} C_t^*) = (1 + \rho_{EA} P_t^{B,EA}) B_{t-1}^{EA} + P_t^C Z_t + P_t^{C*} Z_t^*$$

• EA monetary authority

+ sets the interest rate of one-period risk-free bonds  $R_t = \frac{1}{E_t Q_t}$ 

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

### **Final Goods Firms**

+ Final good produced combining  $C_t^H$  and  $C_t^F$  with technology

$$Q_t^C = \left[ (1 - \nu_c)^{\frac{1}{\mu_c}} C_t^{H\frac{\mu_c - 1}{\mu_c}} + \nu_c^{\frac{1}{\mu_c}} C_t^{F\frac{\mu_c - 1}{\mu_c}} \right]^{\frac{\mu_c}{\mu_c - 1}}$$

 $\nu_{\rm c}$  degree of openness &  $\mu_{\rm c}$  elasticity of sub. between H & F goods

• Demand for H and F intermediate goods *i* and *i*<sup>\*</sup> by final consumption good firm:

$$C_t^H(i) = \left[\int_0^1 C_t^H(i)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta_p}}\right]^{1+\eta_p} \quad C_t^F(i) = \left[\int_0^1 C_t^F(i^*)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta_{p,x}}}\right]^{1+\eta_{p,x}}$$

 $\eta_{\rho}, \eta_{\rho,x} > 0$  related to the intratemporal elasticities of sub. between the differentiated outputs supplied by the H and F intermediate firms

• Demand for H and F good bundles by final consumption good firm:

$$C_t^H = (1 - \nu_C) \left(\frac{P_t^H}{P_t^C}\right)^{-\mu_C} Q_t^C \quad C_t^F = \nu_C \left(\frac{P_t^F}{P_t^C}\right)^{-\mu_C} Q_t^C$$

back
 price indices

### Intermediate Goods Firms

 $+ \ \, {\rm Intermediate \ goods \ firms}$ 

- Continuum of monopolistically competitive firms
- Use technology:  $Y_t(i) = K_t(i)^{\alpha} (A_t L_t(i))^{1-\alpha} A_t \Omega$
- Calvo-price setters
- Price indexation:  $p_t^H(i) = (\pi_{t-1}^H)^{\chi_p} (\pi^H)^{1-\chi_p} P_{t-1}^H(i)$
- Face perfectly competitive factor markets for capital and labor

back

# Wages

- Both savers and non-savers supply differentiated labor service
- Labor packer produces composite labor  $L_t = \left[\int_0^1 L_t(I)^{rac{1}{1+\eta_w}} dI
  ight]^{1+\eta_w}$
- Profit maximisation yields labor demand  $L_t(I) = L_t \left(\frac{W_t(I)}{W_t}\right)^{-\frac{1+\eta_w}{\eta_w}}$
- Wage set optimally by savers with prob  $\omega_w$
- Wage indexation  $W_t(I) = W_{t-1}(I)(\prod_{t-1}e^{\gamma})^{\chi_w}(\prod e^{\gamma})^{1-\chi_w}$

back

### Households' Preferences

+ Savers

+ Hand-to-mouth

Same preferences

$$\mathcal{U}_t = \left( (\ln C_t^*(j) - \tilde{C}_{t-1}^*) - \frac{L_t(j)^{1+\xi}}{1+\xi} \right),$$

where  $C_t^*(j) \equiv C_t(j) + \alpha_G G_t$ 

	back
#### Households' Budget Constraints

• The nominal flow budget constraint for hand-to-mouth  $j \in [0, \mu]$  $P_t^C(1 + \tau_t^C + \tau_t^{EA,C})C_t^N(j) = (1 - \tau_t^L - \tau_t^{EA,L})\int_0^1 W_t(I)L_t^N(j,I)dI + P_t^C Z_t^N(j)$ 

#### Households' Budget Constraints

- The nominal flow budget constraint for hand-to-mouth  $j \in [0, \mu]$  $P_t^C(1 + \tau_t^C + \tau_t^{EA, C})C_t^N(j) = (1 - \tau_t^L - \tau_t^{EA, L})\int_0^1 W_t(l)L_t^N(j, l)dl + P_t^C Z_t^N(j)$
- The nominal flow budget constraint for saver  $j \in (\mu, 1]$

$$\begin{split} P_{t}^{C}(1 + \tau_{t}^{C} + \tau_{t}^{EA,C})C_{t}^{S}(j) + P_{t}^{I}l_{t}(j) + \underbrace{E_{t}(\underbrace{Q_{t,t+1}B_{s,t+1}}_{\epsilon_{t}^{C}})}_{\text{AD securities}} + \underbrace{P_{t}^{B}B_{t}(j)}_{\text{national bond}} + \underbrace{P_{t}^{B,EA}B_{t}^{EA}(j)}_{\text{Eurobond}} \\ &= B_{s,t}(j) + (1 + \rho P_{t}^{B})B_{t-1}(j) + (1 + \rho P_{t}^{B,EA})B_{t-1}^{EA}(j) \\ &+ (1 - \tau_{t}^{L} - \tau_{t}^{EA,L})\int_{0}^{1}W_{t}(l)L_{t}^{S}(j,l)dl \\ &+ (1 - \tau_{t}^{K} - \tau_{t}^{EA,K})R_{t}^{K}v_{t}(j)\bar{K}_{t-1}^{S}(j) - \psi(v_{t})\bar{K}_{t-1}^{S} + P_{t}^{C}Z_{t}^{S}(j) + D_{t}(j) \end{split}$$

#### **Price Indices**

$$P_{t}^{C} = \left[ (1 - \nu_{c}) P_{t}^{H^{1-\mu_{c}}} + \nu_{c} P_{t}^{F^{1-\mu_{c}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\mu_{c}}}$$

$$P_t^{C^*} = \left[\nu_c P_t^{H^{*\,1-\mu_c}} + (1-\nu_c) P_t^{F^{*\,1-\mu_c}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\mu_c}}$$

▶ Back

National fiscal parameters:

 $+\,$  Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union

National fiscal parameters:

- $+\,$  Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union
- $+\,$  Steady-state and persistence of G and Z: Eurostat

National fiscal parameters:

- $+\,$  Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union
- $+\,$  Steady-state and persistence of G and Z: Eurostat
- $+\,$  Steady-state national debt-to-GDP: 60%

National fiscal parameters:

- + Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union
- $+\,$  Steady-state and persistence of G and Z: Eurostat
- + Steady-state national debt-to-GDP: 60%
- + Debt response for fiscal instruments: high-country debt-to-GDP back to steady-state in 15 years

National fiscal parameters:

- + Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union
- $+\,$  Steady-state and persistence of G and Z: Eurostat
- + Steady-state national debt-to-GDP: 60%
- + Debt response for fiscal instruments: high-country debt-to-GDP back to steady-state in 15 years

EA fiscal parameters:

 $+\,$  Steady-state of tax rates: 3%

National fiscal parameters:

- + Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union
- $+\,$  Steady-state and persistence of G and Z: Eurostat
- + Steady-state national debt-to-GDP: 60%
- + Debt response for fiscal instruments: high-country debt-to-GDP back to steady-state in 15 years

EA fiscal parameters:

- $+\,$  Steady-state of tax rates: 3%
- + Steady-state of Z: Eurostat

National fiscal parameters:

- + Steady state and persistence of tax rates: EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union
- $+\,$  Steady-state and persistence of G and Z: Eurostat
- + Steady-state national debt-to-GDP: 60%
- + Debt response for fiscal instruments: high-country debt-to-GDP back to steady-state in 15 years

EA fiscal parameters:

- $+\,$  Steady-state of tax rates: 3%
- + Steady-state of Z: Eurostat
- + Steady-state EA debt-to-GDP: 7%



Parameter	Description	Value	Target/Source
Preferences			<b>o</b> ,
β	Discount factor	0.999	Annual SS real rate of 1.35%
ξ	Inverse Frisch elasticity	2	Coenen et al. (2013)
θ	Habit in formation	0.59	Coenen et al. (2013)
$\alpha^{G}$	Substitutability of private vs. gov. consumption	-0.24	Leeper et al. (2017)
Frictions and	technology		
$\mu$	Share of hand-to-mouth households	0.11	Leeper et al. (2017)
$\alpha$	Elasticity in production function	0.33	SS share of labour income in total output of 70%
δ	Capital depreciation rate	0.025	Implies annual depreciation of 10%
5	Investment adjustment cost	5.56	Coenen et al. (2013)
$\psi$	Capital utilization cost	0.16	Leeper et al. (2013)
$\omega_p$	Price Calvo parameter	0.93	Coenen et al. (2013)
ωw	Wage Calvo parameter	0.78	Coenen et al. (2013)
$\chi_p$	Price indexation	0.38	Coenen et al. (2013)
Χw	Wage indexation	0.54	Coenen et al. (2013)
$\eta_P$	Price markup	0.163	Leeper et al. (2013)
$\eta_W$	Wage markup	0.286	Leeper et al. (2013)
$\nu_{C,IT}$	Degree of openness for IT	0.205	Albonico et al. (2019)
$\nu_{C,DE}$	Degree of openness for DE	0.261	Albonico et al. (2019)
μ <sub>C,IT</sub>	Elasticity of sub. between IT & DE	1.130	Albonico et al. (2019)
μ <sub>C</sub> ,DE	Elasticity of sub. between DE & IT	1.300	Albonico et al. (2019)

▶ Back

## Calibration II

Parameter	Description	Value	Target/Source
Monetary aut	hority		
$\phi_{\pi}$	Interest rate response to EA inflation	1.89	Coenen et al. (2013)
φv	Interest rate response to EA output	0.16	Coenen et al. (2013)
ρr	Interest rate smoothing	0.88	Coenen et al. (2013)
Risk Premiun	n Shock		
ρ	Persistence of shock	0.96	Match average EABCN peak-to-trough
σ	Volatility of shock	0.011	Match output volatility over 1999Q1-2019Q4

Table: Calibrated values for model parameters and steady-state targets.

Back

## Calibration III

Parameter	Description	Value	Target/Source
Steady-state cali	bration targets		
sb,IT	Quarterly debt-to-GDP in IT	2.4	Annualized 60%, Maastricht Treaty parameter
sb.DE	Quarterly debt-to-GDP in DE	2.4	Annualized 60%, Maastricht Treaty parameter
sb.EA	Quarterly debt-to-GDP in EA	0.28	Annualized 7%
sec.IT	Gov. expenditure-to-GDP ratio IT	0.187	Quarterly average in 2019, Eurostat
sgc,DE	Gov. expenditure-to-GDP ratio DE	0.205	Quarterly average in 2019, Eurostat
TIT	Steady-state tax rate on labor IT	19.7%	EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union, 2018
$\tau_{DF}^{L}$	Steady-state tax rate on labor DE	25.2%	EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union, 2018
$\tau_{FA}^{L}$	Steady-state tax rate on labor EA	3%	
$\tau_{IT}^{K}$	Steady-state tax rate on capital IT	29.2%	EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union, 2018
	Steady-state tax rate on capital DE	30.6%	EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union, 2018
$\tau_{FA}^{K}$	Steady-state tax rate on capital EA	3%	
τ <sup>ζ</sup> .	Steady-state tax rate on cons. IT	22%	EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union, 2018
	Steady-state tax rate on cons. DE	19%	EC, DG Taxation and Customs Union, 2018
$\tau_{EA}^{C}$	Steady-state tax rate on cons. EA	3%	
Debt maturities			
ΡΙΤ	Debt maturity decay rate IT	0.963	Target yearly average maturity of 6.87 in 2019
PDE	Debt maturity decay rate DE	0.964	Target yearly average maturity of of 5.94 in 2010
ΡΕΑ	Debt maturity decay rate EA	0.958	Target yearly average maturity of 6.6 in 2010

#### Table: Calibrated values for model parameters and steady-state targets.

#### Calibration IV

Parameter	Description	Value	Target/Source			
Fiscal authorities						
$\rho_{IT}^{L}$	Persistence of $\tau^L$ in IT	0.735	Estimated 2004-2020, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PDF	Persistence of $\tau^L$ in DE	0.735	Estimated 2004-2020, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PEA	Persistence of $\tau^L$ in EA	0.726	Estimated 2004-2020, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PIT	Persistence of $\tau^K$ in IT	0.606	Estimated 2006-2018, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
ρ <sup>K</sup> PDF	Persistence of $\tau^K$ in DE	0.662	Estimated 2006-2018, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PFA	Persistence of $\tau^K$ in EA	0.502	Estimated 2006-2018, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PIT	Persistence of $\tau^{C}$ in IT	0.884	Estimated 2000-2020, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PDF	Persistence of $\tau^{C}$ in DE	0.833	Estimated 2000-2020, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PFA	Persistence of $\tau^{C}$ in EA	0.895	Estimated 2000-2020, EC, DG Taxation & Customs Union			
PIT	Persistence of G in IT	0.659	Estimated over 2007-2019, Eurostat			
PDF	Persistence of G in DE	0.365	Estimated over 2007-2019, Eurostat			
PIT	Persistence of transfers rule	0.785	Estimated over 1996-2019, Eurostat			
ρŻ	Persistence of transfers rule	0.636	Estimated over 2002-2019, Eurostat			
ρŽĀ	Persistence of transfers rule	0.880	Estimated over 2002-2019, Eurostat			
γG	Debt response for G	0.11	IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years			
γZ	Debt response for transfers	0.11	IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years			
$\gamma^{L}$	Debt response for $\tau^L$	0.11	IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years			
YK	Debt response, for $\tau^K$	0.11	IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years			
$\gamma^{c}$	Debt response for $\tau^{C}$	0.11	IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years			
$\phi_Y$	Automatic stabilizers	0.11	IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years			

#### Table: Calibrated values for model parameters and steady-state targets.

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Lack of stabilization tools in high-debt country leads national government of this country to refuse to comply with the common fiscal rules

Lack of stabilization tools in high-debt country leads national government of this country to refuse to comply with the common fiscal rules

Conflict:

 $+\,$  Between high-debt country fiscal authority & monetary authority

Lack of stabilization tools in high-debt country leads national government of this country to refuse to comply with the common fiscal rules

Conflict:

- $+\,$  Between high-debt country fiscal authority & monetary authority
- + Solved with Markov-switching model

Lack of stabilization tools in high-debt country leads national government of this country to refuse to comply with the common fiscal rules

Conflict:

- $+\,$  Between high-debt country fiscal authority & monetary authority
- + Solved with Markov-switching model
- + Transition matrix Q between four regimes:
  - 1. Fiscal discipline
  - 2. Emergency budget
  - 3. Conflict with monetary-led resolution
  - 4. Conflict with fiscally-led resolution

Lack of stabilization tools in high-debt country leads national government of this country to refuse to comply with the common fiscal rules

Conflict:

- $+\,$  Between high-debt country fiscal authority & monetary authority
- + Solved with Markov-switching model
- + Transition matrix Q between four regimes:
  - 1. Fiscal discipline
  - 2. Emergency budget
  - 3. Conflict with monetary-led resolution
  - 4. Conflict with fiscally-led resolution

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} p^{MM} & 1 - p^{EB} & 1 - p^{CC} & 0\\ 1 - p^{MM} & p^{EB} & 0 & 1 - p^{CC}\\ 0 & p^{CC} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p^{CC} \end{pmatrix}$$

🕨 back

Transition matrix Q between the four regimes is the following:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} p^{MM} & (1 - p^{FC} - p^{FF}) & 0\\ (1 - p^{MM} - p^{MC}) & p^{FF} & 1 - p^{CC}\\ p^{MC} & p^{FC} & p^{CC} \end{pmatrix}$$

Transition probabilities:

•  $p^{MM} = 0.9995$ ,

• 
$$p^{FF} = 0.9995$$
,

• 
$$p^{CC} = 0.9$$
.

• 
$$p^{MC} = p^{FC} = 0$$

The conflict is assumed to last 10 quarters

▶ Back

Conflict between high-debt country fiscal authority & monetary authority + 10-period conflict as Markov-switching model with fiscally-led resol.

Parameter	Description	Fiscal Discipline	Emergency Budget	Conflict
$\phi_{\pi}$	Monetary response to $\pi_{EA}$	1.89	0.9	1.89
$\gamma_{J,IT}$	Fiscal response for IT	0.11	0.11	0.001
$\gamma_{J,DE}$	Fiscal response for DE	0.11	0.11	0.11
$\gamma_{J,EA}$	Fiscal response for EA	0.11	0.001	0.11

Table: Parameters of the monetary and fiscal rules under *Fiscal Discipline*, *Emergency Budget*, and *Conflict*.

 $+ J \in \{C, L, K, G, Z\}$ 

- $+~\gamma_{J}$  = 0.11, IT debt-to-GDP to SS in 15 years under fiscal discipline
- $+ \phi_{\pi} =$  1.89 as estimated in Coenen, Straub, & Trabandt (2013)
- + Transition probabilities across regimes as in Bianchi & Melosi (2019)

Conflict between high-debt country fiscal authority & central bank

Conflict between high-debt country fiscal authority & central bank



- Mounting inflationary pressure

Conflict between high-debt country fiscal authority & central bank



- Mounting inflationary pressure
- Monetary response worsens recession in low-debt country

Conflict between high-debt country fiscal authority & central bank



- Mounting inflationary pressure
- Monetary response worsens recession in low-debt country
- Monetary tightening exacerbates debt-to-GDP in high-debt country

Conflict between high-debt country fiscal authority & central bank



- Mounting inflationary pressure
- Monetary response worsens recession in low-debt country
- Monetary tightening exacerbates debt-to-GDP in high-debt country
- Spiral of growing inflation, deeper recession, and debt accumulation

back

Bianchi, Melosi, and Rogantini Picco

Who Is Afraid of Eurobonds?

#### Facing a Recession

